

---

---

---

---

---



# Scaling groups

## I. Definitions / first properties

Def: let  $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$  metric spaces.

A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a Q.I. if there are constants  $C \geq 1, k \geq 0$  s.t.

$$(i) \quad \frac{1}{C} d_X(x, y) - k \leq d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \\ \leq C \cdot d_X(x, y) + k \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

$$(ii) \quad d_Y(y, f(x)) \leq k \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

Equivalently,  $f$  is a Q.I.  $\Leftrightarrow$  (i) holds and  $\exists g: Y \rightarrow X$  s.t.

$$d(g \circ f, Id_X), d(f \circ g, Id_Y) \leq k.$$

where for  $h_1, h_2: X \rightarrow Y$ :

$$d(h_1, h_2) := \sup_{x \in X} d_Y(h_1(x), h_2(x)).$$

Observation: a Q.I.  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  has uniformly bounded fibers:  $\exists P \geq 1, |f^{-1}(y)| \leq P \forall y \in Y$ .

If  $x, x' \in f^{-1}(y)$ , then

$$d_X(x, x') \leq C \cdot (d(f(x), f(x')) + K) = CK$$

Def:  $k > 0$ . A Q.I.  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is quasi- $k$ -to-one if  $\exists C > 0$ :

$$\forall A \subset Y \text{ finite} \quad |k|A| - |f^{-1}(A)| \leq C \cdot |\partial_Y A|$$

where  $\partial_Y A := \{y \in Y, A : \exists a \in A, y \sim_Y a\}$ .

Theorem (Whyte, 1999):  $X, Y$  graphs.

A Q.I.  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is quasi-one-to-one if and only if  $f$  is at bounded distance from a bijection.

Between non amenable spaces, any Q.I. is quasi- $k$ -to-one for any  $k > 0$ :

$Y$  not amenable  $\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0, |\partial_Y A| > \varepsilon \cdot |A|$   
 $\forall A \subset Y$  finite

$$\begin{aligned}
|k|A| - |f^{-1}(A)| &\leq k \cdot |A| + \underbrace{|f^{-1}(A)|}_{\leq P \cdot |A|} \\
&\leq (k+P) \cdot |A| \\
&\leq \frac{k+P}{\varepsilon} \cdot |2_Y A|.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma:  $X, Y$  amenable graphs.

If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is quasi- $k$ -to-one and quasi- $k'$ -to-one, then  $k = k'$ .

Proof:  $|k|A| - |f^{-1}(A)| \leq C \cdot |2A| \quad \forall A$  finite

Apply to  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a Følner sequence of  $Y$ :

$$|k|F_n| - \frac{|f^{-1}(F_n)|}{|F_n|} \leq C \cdot \frac{|2F_n|}{|F_n|}$$

$$\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \Rightarrow k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f^{-1}(F_n)|}{|F_n|} = k'. \quad \square$$

Proposition:  $k_1, k_2 > 0$ .  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ ,

$h: X \rightarrow Y$ .

(i)  $f$  quasi- $k_1$ -to-one and  $h$  is at bounded distance from  $f$ , then  $h$  is quasi- $k_1$ -to-one.

(ii) If  $f$  is quasi- $k_1$ -to-one,  $g$  is quasi- $k_2$ -to-one, then  $g \circ f$  is quasi- $k_1 k_2$ -to-one.

(iii)  $f$  quasi- $k_1$ -to-one, then any quasi-inverse of  $f$  is quasi- $\frac{1}{k_1}$ -to-one.

Lemma:  $X$  bounded degree graph.

(i)  $\forall A \subset X$  finite,  $S \geq 0$ , then

$$|A^{+S}| \leq N^S \cdot |A|$$

where  $N \geq 1$  is a fixed upper bound on degree of vertices of  $X$

$$A^{+S} := \{ b \in X : \exists a \in A, d(a, b) \leq S \}$$

$$= \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, S).$$

(ii)  $\forall A \subset X$  finite,  $S \geq 0$ ,  $\exists R > 0$  s.t.

$$|A^{+S} \setminus A| \leq R \cdot |\partial_x A|.$$

Proof: (i) If  $a \in A$ , there are  $\leq N^i$  paths starting from  $a$  and ending at an element of  $A^{+i}$ :

$$|A^{+S}| \leq |A| \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{S-1} N^i \leq N^S \cdot |A|.$$

$$(ii) A^{+S} \setminus A \subseteq (\partial_x A)^{+(S-1)}$$

and apply (i).  $\square$

Lemme:  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  Q.I.

$$\exists L > 0, \quad |\partial_x f^{-1}(A)| \leq L \cdot |\partial_y A| \quad \forall A \subset Y \text{ finite.}$$

Proof: Let  $x \in \partial_x f^{-1}(A)$ . Then  $\exists y \in f^{-1}(A)$

$$\text{with } d_x(x, y) = 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } d_y(f(x), \underbrace{f(y)}_{\in A}) &\leq C \cdot d_x(x, y) + k \\ &= C + k \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) \in A^{+(c+k)}$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in f^{-1}(A^{+(c+k)}). \text{ Thus}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x f^{-1}(A)| &\leq |f^{-1}(A^{+(c+k)}) \setminus f^{-1}(A)| \\ &= |f^{-1}(A^{+(c+k)} \setminus A)| \\ &\leq P \cdot |A^{+(c+k)} \setminus A|, \quad \begin{array}{l} P \text{ uniform} \\ \text{bound on} \\ |f^{-1}(zy?)| \end{array} \\ &\leq P \cdot R \cdot |\partial_y A|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the Proposition:

$$(i) \quad d(f, h) \leq \theta.$$

This implies that  $f^{-1}(B) \subset h^{-1}(B^{+\theta})$   
 $h^{-1}(B) \subset f^{-1}(B^{+\theta}).$

Let  $A \subset Y$  finite:

$$\begin{aligned} |k_1|A| - |h^{-1}(A)| &\leq |k_1|A| - |f^{-1}(A)| \\ &\quad + \left| |f^{-1}(A)| - |h^{-1}(A)| \right| \\ &\leq C \cdot |\partial A| + \left| |f^{-1}(A)| - |h^{-1}(A)| \right| \end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}
|f^{-1}(A)| - |h^{-1}(A)| &\leq |h^{-1}(A^{+\mathbb{Q}})| - |h^{-1}(A)| \\
&= |h^{-1}(A^{+\mathbb{Q}}, A)| \\
&\leq P \cdot |A^{+\mathbb{Q}}, A|, \quad P \text{ uniform bound on } |h^{-1}(xy)| \\
&\leq P \cdot R \cdot |2A|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|h^{-1}(A)| - |f^{-1}(A)| &\leq |f^{-1}(A^{+\mathbb{Q}}, A)| \\
&\leq P' \cdot R \cdot |2A|, \quad P' \text{ uniform bound on } |f^{-1}(xy)|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow |k_1|A| - |h^{-1}(A)| \leq (C + \max(P, P') \cdot R) \cdot |2A|$$

$\Rightarrow h$  is quasi- $k_1$ -to-one.

(ii)  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$  finite:  $C \rightsquigarrow$  constant for  $g$   
 $D \rightsquigarrow$  constant for  $f$

$$\begin{aligned}
&|k_1 k_2 |A| - |(g \circ f)^{-1}(A)| \\
&\leq |k_1 k_2 |A| - k_1 |g^{-1}(A)| + |k_1 |g^{-1}(A)| - |f^{-1}(g^{-1}(A))| \\
&\leq k_1 |k_2 |A| - |g^{-1}(A)| + D \cdot |2y g^{-1}(A)|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq k_1 \cdot C \cdot |\partial_2 A| + D \cdot L \cdot |\partial_2 A|$$

$$= (k_1 \cdot C + D \cdot L) |\partial_2 A|.$$

$\Rightarrow$   $g \circ f$  is quasi- $k_1 k_2$ -to-one.  $\square$

Corollary:  $H_1, H_2 \leq_f G$ .

$\exists$  a quasi- $\frac{[G:H_2]}{[G:H_1]}$ -to-one Q.I.

$$H_1 \rightarrow H_2.$$

Proof:  $H \leq_f G$ , then  $H \hookrightarrow G$  is quasi- $\frac{1}{[G:H]}$ -to-one.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & G & \\ \frac{1}{[G:H_1]} \nearrow & & \downarrow [G:H_2] \\ H_1 & & H_2 \end{array}$$

Corollary:  $H_1, H_2 \leq_f G$ .

If  $[G:H_1] = [G:H_2]$ , then  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are biLipschitz equivalent

(i.e. bijective quasi-isometries,

i.e. bijective Lipschitz maps  $H_1 \rightarrow H_2$   
whose inverse is also Lipschitz.)

$X$  amenable, bounded degree graph:

$$S_c: \mathcal{QI}_{sc}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

$f$  quasi- $k$ -to-one  $\mapsto k$

is a group morphism. Then

$S_c(X) := \text{Im}(S_c)$  is a

subgroup of  $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \cdot)$ .

Questions:  $X = \text{Cay}(G, S)$

• Is it true that any Q.I.  $G \rightarrow G$   
is scaling?

• In general, what is  $S_c(G)$ ?

Proposition:  $S_c(G) = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  if:

(i)  $G = \text{BS}(1, n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$

(ii) Carnot groups (e.g.  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $\text{Heis}(\mathbb{Z})$ )

(iii)  $G = \text{SOL}(\mathbb{R})$  or any of its lattices.

Proposition: let  $F$  be a finite group.

(Tullia Dymarz, 2010)

Then one has

$$S_c(F \wr \mathbb{Z}) = \langle p_1, \dots, p_k \rangle$$

where  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are the prime numbers in the decomposition of  $|F|$ .

Algebraic consequences:

Corollary:  $G$  f.g. amenable. If  $S_c(G) = \{1\}$ , then  $G$  has no f.i. proper subgroup isomorphic to itself.

Proof: If  $H \leq_f G$ ,  $[G:H] < \infty$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{[G:H]} \in S_c(G) = \{1\} \Rightarrow [G:H] = 1 \\ \Rightarrow H = G. \quad \square$$

Corollary:  $G$  f.g. amenable. If  $S_c(G) = \{1\}$ , if  $H_1, H_2 \leq_f G$  are bilipschitz equivalent, then  $[G:H_1] = [G:H_2]$ .

# Samplifiers

Def:  $A, B$  two groups.

$$A \wr B := \left( \bigoplus_B A \right) \rtimes B$$

$$(b \cdot f)(b') := f(b^{-1}b')$$

If  $A = \langle \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \rangle$ ,  $B = \langle \{b_1, \dots, b_m\} \rangle$ ,  
then  $A \wr B$  is generated by  $\mathcal{T}$

$$U := \left\{ (\delta_{a_i}, 1_B), (\mathbb{1}, b_j) : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{1}: B \rightarrow A, b \mapsto 1_A$$

$$\delta_{a_i}: B \rightarrow A, b \mapsto \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } b = 1_B \\ 1_A & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$(c, p) \in A \wr B$ :  $c$  colouring of  $\text{Cay}(B, \mathcal{T})$   
 $p \in B$  an arrow moving  
in  $\text{Cay}(B, \mathcal{T})$ .

2 elementary moves in  $\text{Cay}(A \wr B, U)$ :

- either  $c$  stays the same, and the arrow goes from  $p$  to  $pb_j$  (use  $(\mathbb{1}, b_j)$ )

- or the arrow stays where it stands, and change the color at  $p$ , that goes from  $c(p)$  to  $c(p)a_i$  (use  $(\delta_{a_i}, 1_B)$ ).

Theorem (Genevois - Tessera, 2024):

Let  $F_1, F_2$  be non trivial finite groups.  $G, H$  amenable, finitely presented and one-ended groups. Then there exist  $a, r, s \geq 1$  such that any quasi-isometry  $F_1 \wr G \rightarrow F_2 \wr H$  is quasi- $\frac{s}{r}$ -to-one, and if there is indeed such a Q.I.,  $|F_1| = a^r, |F_2| = a^s$ .

Corollary:  $F$  finite,  $\neq \{1\}$ .

$G$  amenable, f.p., one ended.

Then any Q.I.  $F \wr G \rightarrow F \wr G$  is at bounded distance from a bijection.

In particular,  $S_c(F \wr G) = \{1\}$ .

Theorem:  $F_1, F_2$  finite groups,  $\neq \{1\}$ .

$G, H$  amenable f.p., one-ended.

Let  $\psi: F_1 \wr G \rightarrow F_2 \wr H$  be a Q.I.

Then there exist a bijection

$\alpha: \bigoplus_G F_1 \rightarrow \bigoplus_H F_2$ , a Q.I.  $\beta: G \rightarrow H$

such that  $\psi$  is at bounded distance

from  $\psi: F_1 \wr G \rightarrow F_2 \wr H$

$$(c, p) \mapsto (\alpha(c), \beta(p))$$

and any quasi-inverse of  $\psi$  is at bounded distance from

$$\bar{\psi}: F_2 \wr H \rightarrow F_1 \wr G$$

$$(c, p) \mapsto (\bar{\alpha}^{-1}(c), \bar{\beta}(p))$$

$\bar{\beta}: H \rightarrow G$  is a quasi-inverse of  $\beta$ .

Lemma: let  $\alpha: \bigoplus_G F_1 \rightarrow \bigoplus_H F_2$

$\beta: G \rightarrow H$  s.t.

$\psi: F_1 \wr G \rightarrow F_2 \wr H$

$(c, p) \mapsto (\alpha(c), \beta(p))$

is a q.i. If  $\beta$  is quasi-k-to-one, then  $\psi$  is quasi-k-to-one.

Proof: Fix  $A \subset F_2 \wr H$  finite.

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \bigoplus_G F_1$  be the collection of colourings that appear as the first coordinate of an element of  $A$ , and for  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , let  $A_c \subset A$  be the set of elements of  $A$  having  $c$  as first coordinate. Thus

$$A = \bigsqcup_{c \in \mathcal{C}} A_c$$

$$|k|A| - |\Psi^{-1}(A)| \leq \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} |k \cdot |A_C| - |\Psi^{-1}(A_C)||$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} |k \cdot |B_C| - |\beta^{-1}(B_C)||$$

where  $B_C := \pi_H(A_C)$ , since

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_1 \supset G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & F_2 \supset H \supset A \supset A_C & & \\ & & \downarrow \pi_H & & \downarrow \\ & & H & & B_C \\ & \downarrow \pi_G & G & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \\ & G & & & \end{array}$$

$$(*) \leq \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C \cdot |\partial_H B_C|$$

$$\leq C \cdot |\partial_{F_2 \supset H} A|$$

because  $\partial_{F_2 \supset H} A$  contains  $\bigsqcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \{C\} \times \partial B_C$

$\Rightarrow \Psi$  is quasi- $k$ -to-one.  $\square$

Proposition: Notations as above.

$$\Psi: F_1 \wr G \rightarrow F_2 \wr H \quad \text{Q.I.}$$

$$(c, p) \mapsto (\alpha(c), \beta(p))$$

Then  $\exists Q \geq 0$  such that

$$d_{\text{Haus}}(\beta(\text{supp}(c_1^{-1}c_2)), \text{supp}(\alpha(c_1)\alpha^{-1}(c_2))) \leq Q$$

for all  $c_1, c_2 \in \bigoplus_G F_1$ .

Notations: in  $(X, d_X)$ ,  $A \subset X$

$$A^{+R} := \bigcup_{a \in A} B_{d_X}(a, R)$$

$$d_{\text{Haus}}(A, B) := \inf \left\{ R \geq 0 : \begin{array}{l} A \subset B^{+R} \\ B \subset A^{+R} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof: Let  $C \geq 1$ ,  $K \geq 0$  be the parameters of  $\Psi$ , and of

$$\bar{\Psi}: F_2 \wr H \rightarrow F_1 \wr G$$

$$(c, p) \mapsto (\alpha^{-1}(c), \bar{\beta}(p)).$$

Fix  $c_1, c_2 \in \bigoplus_G F_1$ . Fix a sequence of colourings

$$a_1 = c_1, a_2, \dots, a_n = c_2$$

such that  $a_i, a_{i+1}$  differ only on one point  $p_i$ ,  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

This way,  $\text{supp}(c_1^{-1}c_2) = \{p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$ .

We have:

$$d((\alpha(a_i), \beta(p_i)), (\alpha(a_{i+1}), \beta(p_i)))$$

$$= d(\Psi(a_i, p_i), \Psi(a_{i+1}, p_i))$$

$$\leq C \cdot d((a_i, p_i), (a_{i+1}, p_i)) + k$$

$$= C + k$$

$\Rightarrow \alpha(a_i), \alpha(a_{i+1})$  can only differ

on  $B_H(\beta(p_i), C+k)$   $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n-1$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha(c_1) = \alpha(a_1), \alpha(c_2) = \alpha(a_n)$$

can only differ

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} B_H(\beta(p_i), C+k) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \{ \beta(p_i) \}^{+(C+k)}$$

$$= \{ \beta(p_1), \dots, \beta(p_{n-1}) \}^{+(C+k)}$$

$$= \beta(\text{supp}(c_1^{-1}c_2))$$

i.e.:

$$\text{supp}(\alpha(c_1)^{-1}\alpha(c_2)) \subset \beta(\text{supp}(c_1^{-1}c_2))^{+(C+k)}$$

By symmetry,

$$\forall d_1, d_2 \in \bigoplus_H F_2 :$$

$$\text{supp}(\bar{\alpha}(d_1)^{-1}\alpha(d_2)) \subset \bar{\beta}(\text{supp}(d_1^{-1}d_2))^{+(C+k)}$$

$$d_1 = \alpha(c_1), d_2 = \alpha(c_2) :$$

$$\text{supp}(c_1^{-1}c_2) \subset \bar{\beta}(\text{supp}(\alpha(c_1)^{-1}\alpha(c_2)))^{+(C+k)}$$

$\Downarrow$  apply  $\beta$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(\text{supp}(c_1^{-1}c_2)) &\subset \beta\left(\overline{\beta(\text{supp}(\alpha(c_1)^{-1}\alpha(c_2)))}^{+(C+K)}\right) \\
&\subset \beta\left(\overline{\beta(\text{supp}(\alpha(c_1)^{-1}\alpha(c_2)))}^{+(C(C+K)+K)}\right) \\
&\subset \text{supp}(\alpha(c_1)^{-1}\alpha(c_2))^{+(C(C+K)+K+K)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow d_{\text{Haus}}\left(\beta(\text{supp}(c_1^{-1}c_2)), \text{supp}(\alpha(c_1)^{-1}\alpha(c_2))\right) \\
\leq C(C+K) + 2K =: Q. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1: Let  $n, m \geq 2$ . Let  $G, H$  infinite groups. Let

$$\psi: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times H$$

$$(c, p) \mapsto (\alpha(c), \beta(p))$$

for some bijection  $\alpha$  and Q.I.  $\beta: G \rightarrow H$ .

For any quasi-inverse  $\bar{\beta}$  of  $\beta$ ,  
there exists  $Q \geq 0$  s.t.:

- For all  $A_1 \subset G$ , all  $Q' \geq Q$ ,

$\alpha^{-1} \left( \underbrace{\mathcal{L}(\beta(A_1)^{+Q'})}_{\text{colourings supported on } \beta(A_1)^{+Q'}} \right)$  is a union of cosets of  $\mathcal{L}(A_1)$

- For all  $A_2 \subset H$ ,  $Q' \geq Q$ ,

$\alpha \left( \mathcal{L}(\bar{\beta}(A_2)^{+Q'}) \right)$  is a union of cosets of  $\mathcal{L}(A_2)$ .

As a consequence,  $n$  and  $m$   
have the same prime divisors.

Proof: ACG finite

$$\alpha^{-1} \left( \mathcal{L}(\beta(A)^{+Q'}) \right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k d_i \mathcal{L}(A)$$

for some  $k \geq 1$ ,  $d_1, \dots, d_k \in \bigoplus_H \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ .

$$m^{|\beta(A)^{+Q}|} = |\chi(\beta(A)^{+Q'})|$$

$$= |\alpha^{-1}(\chi(\beta(A)^{+Q'}))|$$

$$= \left| \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k d_i \chi(A) \right| = k \cdot |\chi(A)| = k \cdot n^{|A|}$$

$\Rightarrow n, m$  have same prime divisors.  $\square$

Recall: In  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \wr G$ , for  $A \subseteq G$ ,

$\chi(A) \subseteq \bigoplus_G \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  stands for the subgroup made of colourings that are supported only on  $A$ .

Lemma 2: Let  $n, m \geq 2$ ,  $G, H$  infinite groups. Assume  $\exists Q \geq 0$  and two

maps  $\alpha: \bigoplus_G \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_H \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  such that,

for any colourings  $c_1, c_2 \in \bigoplus_G \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , if

$\text{supp}(c_1^{-1}c_2) \subseteq \{p\}$ , then

$$\text{supp}(\alpha(c_1)^{-1}\alpha(c_2)) \subset B_H(\beta(p), \mathcal{Q}).$$

Then, for any finite ACG, any  $c \in \bigoplus_G \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\alpha(c \mathcal{Y}(A)) \subset \alpha(c) \mathcal{Y}(\beta(A)^{+\mathcal{Q}}).$$

Proof of Lemma 2: By induction over  $|A|$ .

If  $|A| = 1$ , and let  $c \in \bigoplus_G \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

Then, if  $d \in c \mathcal{Y}(A)$ , then  $c^{-1}d \in \mathcal{Y}(A)$

i.e.  $\text{supp}(c^{-1}d) \subset \{p\}$ ,  $p \in G$

$\Rightarrow$   $\text{supp}(\alpha(c)^{-1}\alpha(d)) \subset B_H(\beta(p), \mathcal{Q})$

hypothesis

i.e.  $\alpha(c)^{-1}\alpha(d) \in \mathcal{Y}(\{\beta(p)\}^{+\mathcal{Q}})$

i.e.  $\alpha(d) \in \alpha(c) \mathcal{Y}(\beta(A)^{+\mathcal{Q}})$ .

Assume that the claim holds for a given cardinality and for every colouring. Let  $a \in A$ ,  $c' \in c \mathcal{Y}(A)$ .

Then there is  $c'' \in c \mathcal{L}(A \setminus \{a\})$  such that  $c' \in c'' \mathcal{L}(\{a\})$ . By the inductive assumption:

$$\alpha(c'') \in \alpha(c) \mathcal{L}(\beta(A \setminus \{a\})^{+Q})$$

$$\alpha(c') \in \alpha(c'') \mathcal{L}(\beta(a)^{+Q})$$

Thus:

$$\alpha(c') \in \alpha(c'') \mathcal{L}(\beta(a)^{+Q})$$

$$\subset \alpha(c) \mathcal{L}(\beta(A \setminus \{a\})^{+Q}) \mathcal{L}(\beta(a)^{+Q})$$

$$= \alpha(c) \mathcal{L}(\beta(A \setminus \{a\})^{+Q} \cup \beta(a)^{+Q})$$

$$= \alpha(c) \mathcal{L}(\beta(A)^{+Q}). \quad \square$$

Proof of Lemma 1:  $\varphi$  is aptic

$$\varphi: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \wr G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr H$$

$$(c, p) \mapsto (\alpha(c), \beta(p))$$

By the proposition of last week,  
 $\exists Q \geq 0$  s.t:

$$d_{\text{Haus}}(\beta(\text{supp}(c_1^{-1}c_2)), \text{supp}(\alpha(c_1)^{-1}\alpha(c_2))) \leq Q$$

for all  $c_1, c_2 \in \bigoplus_G \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, the assumption of Lemma 2 is satisfied. Let  $A_1 \subset G$  and  $Q' \geq Q$ .

Let  $c' \in \alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{X}(\beta(A_1)^{+Q'}))$ . Let

$d \in \mathcal{X}(A_1)$ . Then

$$\alpha(c'd) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 2}}{\in} \alpha(c') \mathcal{X}(\beta(A_1)^{+Q'})$$

$$\subset \alpha(c') \mathcal{X}(\beta(A_1)^{+Q'})$$

$$= \mathcal{X}(\beta(A_1)^{+Q'})$$

$$\Rightarrow c'd \in \alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{X}(\beta(A_1)^{+Q'}))$$

$\Rightarrow \alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{X}(\beta(A_1)^{+Q'}))$  is a union of cosets of  $\mathcal{X}(A_1)$ .  $\square$

Proof of the main theorem: Let

$$\psi: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times H$$

$$(c, p) \mapsto (\alpha(c), \beta(p)).$$

let  $C, K \geq 0$  be constants s.t.  $\beta$  and  $\bar{\beta}$  are  $(C, K)$ -Q.I.,  $\beta \circ \bar{\beta}, \bar{\beta} \circ \beta$  at distance  $\leq K$  from identities.

Claim: Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $p_1$  (resp.  $p_2$ ) be the  $p$ -valuation of  $n$  (resp. of  $m$ ). Then there is a constant  $M \geq 1$  such that

$$\left| |A| - \frac{p_2}{p_1} |\beta(A)^{+K}| \right| \leq M \cdot |d_G A|$$

for any  $A \subset G$  finite.

Proof of the claim: let  $A \subset G$  finite,

$B := \bar{\beta}(\beta(A)^{+K})^{+K}$ . We have

$$A \subset B \subset A^{+(C+3)K}$$

Any  $a \in A$  satisfies  $d_G(a, \bar{\beta}(\beta(a))) \leq K$  and  $\beta(a) \in \beta(A) \subset \beta(A)^{+K}$ . Let  $b \in B$ . There exists  $y \in \beta(A)^{+K}$  such that

$$d_G(b, \bar{\beta}(y)) \leq K.$$

Thus there is  $a \in A$ ,  $d_H(y, \beta(a)) \leq k$ .

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(b, a) &\leq d_G(b, \bar{\beta}(y)) \\ &\quad + d_G(\bar{\beta}(y), \bar{\beta}(\beta(a))) \\ &\quad + d_G(\bar{\beta}(\beta(a)), a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 2k + C \cdot d_H(y, \beta(a)) + k$$

$$\leq (C+3) \cdot k$$

$\Rightarrow B \subset A^{+(C+3)k}$ . Thus:

$$|A| \leq |B| \leq |A^{+(C+3)k}| = |A| + |A^{+(C+3)k} \setminus A|$$

$$\leq |A| + N^{(C+3)k} \cdot |{}_G A|$$

where  $N \geq 3$  is an integer larger than the maximal degree of a vertex in the Cayley graph of  $G$ .

On the other hand:  $\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{X}(\beta(A)^k))$  is a union of cosets of  $\mathcal{X}(A)$  (Lemma 1)

thus there is  $k \geq 1$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} m^{|\beta(A)^{+k}|} &= |\mathcal{X}(\beta(A)^{+k})| = |\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(\mathcal{X}(\beta(A)^{+k}))| \\ &= k \cdot |\mathcal{X}(A)| = k \cdot n^{|A|} \end{aligned}$$

so the powers of  $p$  in these 2 integers are the same:

$$p^{P_2 \cdot |\beta(A)^{+k}|} = E \cdot p^{P_1 \cdot |A|}$$

Likewise,  $\mathcal{X}(B)$  is a union of cosets of  $\mathcal{X}(\beta(A)^{+k})$  (Lemma 1), there is  $F \geq 1$  such that:

$$p^{P_1 \cdot |B|} = F \cdot p^{P_2 \cdot |\beta(A)^{+k}|}$$

$$\Rightarrow |B| = \frac{1}{P_1} \log(F) + \frac{P_2}{P_1} \cdot |\beta(A)^{+k}|$$

Also:

$$\begin{aligned} \log(F) &\leq \log(EF) = P_1 \cdot (|B| - |A|) \\ &\leq P_1 \cdot N^{(C+3)k} \log A \end{aligned}$$

hence:

$$\frac{P_2}{P_1} |\beta(A)^{+k}| \leq |B| \leq \frac{P_2}{P_1} |\beta(A)^{+k}| + N^{(C+3)k} |Q_G A|$$

Finally:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| |A| - \frac{P_2}{P_1} |\beta(A)^{+k}| \right| &\leq \left| |A| - |B| \right| \\ &+ \left| |B| - \frac{P_2}{P_1} |\beta(A)^{+k}| \right| \\ &\leq \underbrace{2 N^{(C+3)k}}_{=: M} |Q_G A|. \end{aligned} \quad \square \text{ Claim}$$

Apply the claim with  $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  a Følner sequence of  $G$ :

$$\left| 1 - \frac{P_2}{P_1} \frac{|\beta(A_k)^{+k}|}{|A_k|} \right| \leq M \cdot \frac{|Q_G A_k|}{|A_k|}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0}$

$$\Rightarrow \left( \frac{|\beta(A_k)^{+k}|}{|A_k|} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ converges to } \frac{P_1}{P_2}$$

$\Rightarrow$  there exists a rational  $\frac{r}{s}$  such that, for any prime  $p$ ,  $\frac{P_1}{P_2} = \frac{r}{s}$

$$\Rightarrow \exists k \geq 1, \quad n = k^r, \quad m = k^s.$$

Lastly: Scalingness of  $\beta$ . Let  $A \subset H$  be finite. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{S}{r} \cdot |A| - |\beta^{-1}(A)| \right| &\leq \left| \frac{S}{r} \cdot |A| - \frac{S}{r} \cdot |A^{+k}| \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{S}{r} \cdot |A^{+k}| - \frac{S}{r} \cdot |\beta(\beta^{-1}(A))^{+k}| \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{S}{r} \cdot |\beta(\beta^{-1}(A))^{+k}| - |\beta^{-1}(A)| \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{S}{r} \cdot |A^{+k} \setminus A| + \frac{S}{r} \cdot \left| |A^{+k}| - |\beta(\beta^{-1}(A))^{+k}| \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{S}{r} \cdot |\beta(\beta^{-1}(A))^{+k}| - |\beta^{-1}(A)| \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{S}{r} \cdot R \cdot |\partial_H A| + \frac{S}{r} \cdot \left| |A^{+k} \setminus \beta(\beta^{-1}(A))^{+k}| \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ M \cdot \underbrace{|\partial_G \beta^{-1}(A)|}_{\leq L \cdot |\partial_H A|} \end{aligned}$$

One has  $A^{+k}, \beta(\beta^{-1}(A))^{+k} \subset (\partial_H A)^{+(2k-1)}$  (\*)

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \left| |A^{+k} \setminus \beta(\beta^{-1}(A))^{+k}| \right| &\leq \left| (\partial_H A)^{+(2k-1)} \right| \\ &\leq p^{2k-1} \cdot |\partial_H A| \end{aligned}$$

where  $P \geq 3$  is larger than the maximal degree of a vertex in the Cayley graph of  $H$ .

All this together:

$$\left| \frac{S}{r} \cdot |A| - |\beta^{-1}(A)| \right| \leq \left( \frac{S}{r} \cdot R + M \cdot L + \frac{S}{r} \cdot P^{2k-1} \right) \cdot |\partial_H A|$$

$\Rightarrow \beta$  is quasi- $\frac{S}{r}$ -to-one.

$\Rightarrow \psi$  is quasi- $\frac{S}{r}$ -to-one. □

(\*) let  $y \in A^{+k} \setminus \beta(\beta^{-1}(A))^{+k}$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet y \notin A: \quad y \in A^{+k} \setminus A &\subset (\partial_H A)^{+(k-1)} \\ &\subset (\partial_H A)^{+(2k-1)} \end{aligned}$$

$\bullet y \in A: \exists x \in G, d_H(y, \beta(x)) \leq k$

$$y \notin \beta(\beta^{-1}(A))^{+k} \Rightarrow x \notin \beta^{-1}(A)$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta(x) \notin A$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta(x) \in A^{+k} \setminus A \subset (\partial_H A)^{+(k-1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in (\partial_H A)^{+(2k-1)}. \quad \square_{(*)}$$

Theorem (D., 2025): let  $N, M$  be polynomial growth groups, with growth degrees  $n, m$ . let  $G, H$  be amenable, finitely presented and in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{exp}}$  ( $G = BS(1, n), n \geq 2$ , or  $\text{Sol}(\mathbb{Z}, \dots)$ ).

Then any Q.I.  $N \wr G \rightarrow M \wr H$  is quasi- $\frac{m}{n}$ -to-one.

In particular, any Q.I.  $N \wr G \rightarrow N \wr G$  is at bounded distance from a bijection, and  $S_c(N \wr G) = \{1\}$ .

Proposition:  $n, m \geq 2$ ,  $N_1, N_2$  p-polynomial growth groups of growth degrees  $n_1, n_2$ .

$G, H \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{exp}}$  finitely presented, amenable.

If  $\exists$  a Q.I.:

$$\mathbb{Z}_{n_1 \mathbb{Z}} \wr (N_1 \wr G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{m_2 \mathbb{Z}} \wr (N_2 \wr H)$$

then there are integers  $a, r, s \geq 1$

Such that  $n = a^r$ ,  $m = a^s$

$$\text{and } \frac{s}{r} = \frac{n_2}{n_1}.$$

Proof: If  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times (N_1 \wr G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times (N_2 \wr H)$

then  $(c, p) \mapsto (\alpha(c), \beta(p))$

and  $\beta: N_2 \wr G \rightarrow N_2 \wr H$ .

Previous thm  $\Rightarrow \beta$  is quasi- $\frac{n_2}{n_1}$ -to-one

$\Rightarrow \varphi$  is quasi- $\frac{n_2}{n_1}$ -to-one.  
last week

But Genevois-Tessera's thm

$$\Rightarrow \exists a, r, s, n = a^r, m = a^s$$

$\beta$  is quasi- $\frac{s}{r}$ -to-one, and  $\varphi$  also.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{s}{r} = \frac{n_2}{n_1}. \quad \square$$

uniqueness  
of scaling  
factor

Example:  $n \geq 2$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}^2 \wr BS(1, n))$$

$$\not\cong_{\text{d.t.}} \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}^3 \wr BS(1, n))$$